		Application No.	1	Applicant(s)	
	ıon.	10/676,882	0	OSBORNE, RANDY B.	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar		Examiner	7	Art Unit	
		Paul W. Schlie	2	2186	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>pending</u>			
(1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO).		(3) William W. Schaal (applicant's attorney).			
(2)		(4)			
Date of Interview: 24 August 2006		Time: <u>~2:00pm</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Obviousness Double Patenting in view of Patent 6,181	1,619				
Claims discussed: 3					
Prior art documents discussed: (as above)					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH					d rainations
Applicant agreed with assessments, and agreed to car	icei ciaiiri	s and me a terminal discraime	31 LO OV	ercome propose	a rejections.
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief	ation. Th eparate	e examiner will provide a vercord of the substance of	written the in	summary of the terview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant	/Applicant's Representativ	e Sign	ature – if appro	opriate)